

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APP	LICANT	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.
08/192,022	02/03/94	SALLSTROM		- 26. :	2.965USA —
				_ EXAM	INER
-	,	0584 (0005	MELIUS	. 7	
JAMES W. MI	ileb eco	C5M1/0905			
SUITE 1005	LLEK, COG.		ART	TINL	PAPER NUMBER
FORSHAY TOW	ER		İ		10
821 MARQUET			L 3501	<u> </u>	12
MINNEAPOLIS	, MN 55402		DATE MAIL		
				09.	/05/95
his is a communication	from the examiner is	charge of your application.			
		ENTS AND TRADEMARKS			
COM	WII SO TONE IT OF TAT				
a. The amenoprovisions portions a	dment to claim(s) of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 nd complying with the is unsigned. A duplic	CE ACTION (WHICHEVER 15 LONGER , fi and is accordingly held to be non-resp e rule is required. ate paper or ratification, properly signed a duplicate paper signed by a person of re	ledoonsive. A supplemental , is required.	, fails to paper correc	o comply with the cting the informal
permanen	nunication is present t copy be made by the LE AT HACLE	ed on paper which will not provide a pe é Office at applicant's expense, is require LLETTER	ermanent copy. A perma ed, see M.P.E.P. 714.07.	nent copy, o	or a request that a
2. In accordance wi	ith applicant's reques	, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM	1 THE OFFICE ACTION	DATED -	
IS EXTENDED	TO RUN	MONTH(S).			
		mless approved by the Commissioner. 37			
B. Receipt is ackno	wledged of papers sul	omitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which pape	rs have been made of rec	ord in the fil	le.
1 (Other					

-2-

Serial Number: 08/192,022

Art Unit: 3501

The communication filed on July 10, 1995 is non-responsive to the prior Office action because the remarks set forth on pages 6,7 and 8 are not directed at any specific language of the claims. Also, it is unclear which claims are being argued concerning the reference to Benko. Is it claims 9,20 and 26? Claim 9 has not been specifically addressed any where in the remarks. Clarification is requested. Since the response appears to be bona fide, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a time limit of one month from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last Office action, whichever is the longer. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) OR (b) BUT THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MAY BE EXTENDED UP TO A MAXIMUM OF SIX MONTHS.

In addition, new claim 33 cannot depend on itself. It appears that "claim 33" should have been --claim 26-- on line 1 of this claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Terry Melius at telephone number (703) 308-2168.

Melius/ph

August 30, 1995

TERRY LEE MELIUS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 350